

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,850	10/08/2003	Edward L. Jones	58616US002	7119
32692 75	590 04/06/2005		EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			SUGARMAN, SCOTT J	
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, Mi	BOX 33427 PAUL, MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2873	·····
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/681,850	JONES ET AL.	(Sh)				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Scott J. Sugarman	2873					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja	anuary 2005.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-8,10,13-16,19,20,28-31,33,36 and 37 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 9,11,12,17,18,21-27,32,34,35 and 38-45 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	itage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-15-04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 1-27) in the reply filed on January 12, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is found persuasive, therefore the restriction requirement is withdrawn and all claims (1-45) will be examined together.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 8, 10, 13-16, 19, 20, 28, 31, 33, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morimoto. Morimoto teaches a light emitting unit having a light source, semiconductor laser (1) (col. 3, lines 59-61), for emitting a beam of output light and a refractive optical unit having a first lens (L2) having a refractive surface that produces spherical aberration (col. 4, lines 64-68) and reduces the divergence of the beam of output light, since it is a positive lens and a second lens (L1) disposed in the beam of output light that has a refractive characteristic that compensates spherical aberration introduced by the first lens (L2) (col. 4, lines 64-68). First lens (L2) can be made from an inorganic material such as glass (col. 5, lines 36-45) and the second lens (L1) can be made from plastic (col. 5, lines 46-51). The beam

Art Unit: 2873

is substantially collimated (col. 3, lines 59-61). The second lens (L1) is a meniscus lens (see Table 1). As shown in Table 6, one surface can be planar, and therefore, is aspheric.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 7, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morimoto in view of Gaebe. Morimoto is applied for reasons set forth above, but does not teach a first ball or hemispherical lens. In the system of Gaebe, which does teach a compensating lens for another lens that produces spherical aberration (col. 3, lines 44-62), the first lens can be a ball lens (32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a first ball lens that has a subsequent lens for spherical aberration correction, since as show by Gaebe, this is routinely done in systems that have a first lens producing spherical aberration that is corrected by a second lens.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9, 11, 12, 17, 18, 21-27, 32-35 and 38-45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in

Art Unit: 2873

independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not teach or suggest non-rotationally symmetric refractive surfaces, a third lens unit optically after the first and second lenses, an attached meniscus lens as well as the specifically recited divergences in milliradians.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wei, Braat, Nagaoka and Eckhardt are cited to show other spherical aberration correction optical systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott J. Sugarman whose telephone number is (571)272-2340.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/681,850 Page 5

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott J. Suga/man Primary Examiner Art Unit 2873

sjs April 2, 2005